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Summary Exposure of solutions of formaldehyde in sulphuric acid to ${ }^{60} \mathrm{Co} \gamma$-rays at 77 K gave a radical whose e.s.r. spectrum is in accord with the structure $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{CO}^{+}$.

The iminoxyl radical, $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{CN}$, which is well characterised by e.s.r. spectroscopy, ${ }^{1}$ and is a frequently detected intermediate, ${ }^{2}$ has an electronic structure (I) in which the unpaired electron is strongly delocalised onto the two
hydrogen atoms $\left[A\left({ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right)=87.5 \mathrm{G}\right]$. However, the isoelectronic radical $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{CO}^{+}$(II) has never been detected by e.s.r. spectroscopy. We find that a well defined, anisotropic, doublet is obtained after exposure of solutions of formaldehyde in $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$ (or $\mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$ ) to ${ }^{60} \mathrm{Co} \gamma$-rays at 77 K .

(I)

(II)

If these lines are taken as the $M_{\mathrm{I}}= \pm 1$ components of a triplet (the central region has intense components from $\mathrm{HSO}_{4}$ and $\cdot \mathrm{SO}_{3} \mathrm{H}$ radicals which completely conceal the $M_{\mathrm{I}}=0$ line) then the data given in the Table are obtained. These results are reasonable for $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{CO}^{+}$. The positive shift in $g_{11}$, although not observed for $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{C} \dot{\mathrm{N}}$, is nevertheless expected for structure (II).

|  | $A\left({ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right) / \mathrm{G}^{\mathrm{a}}$ |  |  |  | $g$ values |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\\|$ | $\perp$ | iso | $\\|$ | $\perp$ | av. |  |
| $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{CN}$ | - | - | 87.5 | - | - | 2.002 |  |
| $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{CO}^{+}$ | 93 | 89 | 90.3 | 2.025 | 2.000 | 2.017 |  |

$$
{ }^{\mathrm{a}} \mathrm{G}=10^{-4} \mathrm{~T} .
$$

This identification is supported by the observation that on annealing above 77 K these features were lost as an asymmetric doublet characteristic of $\mathrm{H} \dot{\mathrm{C}} \mathrm{O}$ grew in with a final intensity equal to that for the ' $\mathrm{H}_{2} \dot{\mathrm{CO}}^{+}$' radical (equation 1).

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{CO}^{+}+\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4} \rightarrow \mathrm{H} \dot{\mathrm{CO}}+\mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{SO}_{4}^{+} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

This means that whereas HCN - is a strong base, ${ }^{3} \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{CO} \dot{ }^{+}$is a strong acid even in concentrated sulphuric acid.
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